

No. 9/5/84-6Lab/8692.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of (1) M/s Asia Foundry, Plot No. 258, Sector-24, Faridabad (2) Managing Director, M/s Asia Foundry C/o M/s Rajendra Foundry, Plot No. 32, Jangpura Road, Bhogal, Delhi: —

IN THE COURT OF SHRI R. N. SINGAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,  
FARIDABAD

Reference No. 282 of 1984

between

SHRI PARKASH, WORKMAN AND THE RESPONDENT-MANAGEMENT OF (1) M/S ASIA  
FOUNDRY, PLOT NO. 258, SECTOR-24, FARIDABAD (2) MANAGING DIRECTOR  
M/S ASIA FOUNDRY, C/O M/S RAJENDRA FOUNDRY, PLOT NO. 32,  
JANGPURA ROAD, BHOGAL, DELHI

Present :

None, for the workman.

Shri Deepak Shandalia, Accountant, for the respondent-management.

#### AWARD

This reference has been referred to this court by the Hon'ble Governor of Haryana,—vide his order No. ID/FD/14-84/30025-31, dated 13th August, 1984, under section 10(i)(c) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 for adjudication of the industrial dispute existing between Shri Parkash, workman and the respondent-management of (1) M/s Asia Foundry, Plot No. 258, Sector-24, Faridabad (2) Managing Director, M/s Asia Foundry, C/o M/s Rajendra Foundry, Plot No. 32, Jangpura Road, Bhogal, Delhi. The term of the reference was :—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Parkash, was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

None is present for the workman inspite of repeated calls. It is 1.00 P. M. Hence the workman is proceeded *ex parte*. In *ex parte* evidence, the respondent-management has appeared as MW-1 and stated that the workman has settled his dispute. He has no right of reinstatement/re-employment. The settlement is Ex. M-1.

In view of the statement of the representative of the management, there is no dispute between the parties.

Dated, the 14th November, 1984.

R. N. SINGAL,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Faridabad.

Endorsement No. 2786, dated 27th November, 1984.

Forwarded (four copies), to the Commissioner & Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour & Employment Department, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

R. N. SINGAL,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Faridabad.

No. 9/5/84-6Lab/8701.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of (1) M/s. Asia Foundry, Plot No. 258, Sector 24, Faridabad (2) Managing Director, M/s. Asia Foundry C/o M/s. Rajendra Foundry, Plot No. 32, Jangpura Road, Bhogal, Delhi.

IN THE COURT OF SHRI R. N. SINGAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,  
FARIDABAD

Reference No. 274 of 1984

between

SHRI TARA CHAND, WORKMAN AND THE RESPONDENT-MANAGEMENT OF  
(i) M/S ASIA FOUNDRY, PLOT NO. 258, SECTOR 24, FARIDABAD ; (ii) MANAGING  
DIRECTOR, M/S. ASIA FOUNDRY, C/O M/S. RAJENDRA FOUNDRY, PLOT  
NO. 32, JANGPURA ROAD, BHOGAL, DELHI

Present :

None for the workman.

Shri Deepak Shandalia, Accountant, for the respondent-management.



## AWARD

This reference has been referred to this court by the Hon'ble Governor of Haryana,—*vide* his order No. ID/FD/14/84/29966-67, dated 13th August, 1984, under section 10(i)(c) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 for adjudication of the industrial dispute existing between Shri Tara Chand, workman and the respondent-management of (i) M/s. Asia Foundry, Plot No. 258, Sector 24, Faridabad, (ii) Managing Director, M/s. Asia Foundry, c/o M/s. Rajendra Foundry, Plot No. 32, Jangpura Road, Bhogal, Delhi. The term of the reference was :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Tara Chand was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

None is present for the workman inspite of repeated calls. It is 1.00 p.m. Hence the workman is proceeded *ex parte*. In *ex parte* evidence, the respondent-management has appeared as MW-1 and stated that the workman has settled his dispute. He has no right of reinstatement/re-employment. The settlement is Ex. M-1.

In view of the statement of the representative of the management, there is no dispute between the parties.

Dated, the 14th November, 1984

R. N. SINGAL,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Faridabad.

Endorsement No. 2777, dated the 27th November, 1984.

Forwarded (four copies), to the Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

R. N. SINGAL,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Faridabad.

N. 9/5/84-6Lab/8702.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of (1) M/s. Asia Foundry, Plot No. 258, Sector 24, Faridabad, (2) Managing Director, M/s. Asia Foundry c/o M/s. Rajendra Foundry, Plot No. 32, Jangpura Road, Bhogal, Delhi:—

IN THE COURT OF SHRI R. N. SINGAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,  
FARIDABAD

Reference No. 290 of 1984

between

SHRI LAL JI, WORKMAN AND THE RESPONDENT-MANAGEMENT OF (i) M/S ASIA  
FOUNDRY, PLOT NO. 258, SECTOR 24, FARIDABAD, (ii) MANAGING  
DIRECTOR, M/S. ASIA FOUNDRY, C/O M/S. RAJENDRA FOUNDRY,  
PLOT NO. 32, JANGPURA ROAD, BHOGAL, DELHI

Present:

None for the workman.

Shri Deepak Shandalia, Accountant, for the respondent-management.

## AWARD

This reference has been referred to this court by the Hon'ble Governor of Haryana,—*vide* his order No. ID/FD/14/84/30089—95, dated 13th August, 1984, under section 10(i)(c) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, for adjudication of the industrial dispute existing between Shri Lal Ji, workman and the respondent-management of (i) M/s Asia Foundry, Plot No. 258, Sector 24, Faridabad, (ii) Managing Director, M/s. Asia Foundry, C/o M/s. Rajendra Foundry, Plot No. 32, Jangpura Road, Bhogal, Delhi. The terms of the reference was :—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Lal Ji, workman, was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled?



None is present for the workman inspite of repeated calls. It is 1.00 p.m. Hence the workman is proceeded *ex parte*. In *ex parte* evidence, the respondent-management has appeared as MW-1 and stated that the workman has settled his dispute. He has no right of reinstatement/re-employment. The settlement is Ex. M-1.

In view of the statement of the representative of the management, there is no dispute between the parties.

Dated, 14th November, 1984.

R. N. SINGAL,

Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Faridabad.

Endorsement No. 2776, dated the 27th November, 1984.

Forwarded (four copies), to the Commissioner & Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

R. N. SINGAL,

Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Faridabad.

No. 9/5/84-6Lab/8703.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Faridabad in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of (1) M/s Asia Foundry, Plot No. 258, Sector 24, Faridabad, (2) Managing Director, M/s. Asia Foundry c/o M/s. Rajendra Foundry, Plot No. 32, Jangpura Road, Bhogal, Delhi:—

IN THE COURT OF SHRI R. N. SINGAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,  
FARIDABAD

Reference No. 288 of 1984

between

SHRI BALDEV, WORKMAN AND THE RESPONDENT-MANAGEMENT OF (i) M/S. ASIA  
FOUNDRY, PLOT NO. 258, SECTOR 24, FARIDABAD, (ii) MANAGING  
DIRECTOR, M/S. ASIA FOUNDRY, C/O M/S. RAJENDRA FOUNDRY,  
PLOT NO. 32, JANGPURA ROAD, BHOGAL, DELHI

Present :—

None for the Workman.

Shri Deepak Shandalia, Accountant, for the respondent-management.

#### AWARD

This reference has been referred to this court by the Hon'ble Governor of Haryana,—*vide* his order No. ID/FD/14/84/30073—29, dated 13th August, 1984 under section 10 (i) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 for adjudication of the industrial dispute existing between Shri Baldev, workman and the respondent-management of (i) M/s. Asia Foundry, Plot No. 258, Sector 24, Faridabad, (ii) Managing Director, M/s. Asia Foundry, c/o M/s. Rajendra Foundry, Plot No. 32, Jangpura Road, Bhogal, Delhi. The term of the reference was :—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Baldev, was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

None is present for the workman inspite of repeated calls. It is 1.00 p.m. Hence the workman is proceeded *ex parte*. In *ex parte* evidence, the respondent-management has appeared as MW-1 and stated that the workman has settled his dispute. He has no right of reinstatement/re-employment. The settlement is Ex.M-1.



In view of the statement of the representative of the management, there is no dispute between the parties.

Dated, the 14th November, 1984.

R. N. SINGAL,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Faridabad.

Endst. No. 2775, dated the 27th November, 1984.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Department, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act.

R. N. SINGAL,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Faridabad.

No. 9/5/84-Lab/8704.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s (i) Asia Foundary, Plot No. 257, Sector 24, Faridabad. (2) Managing Director, M/s. Asia Foundary, c/o M/s. Rajindra Foundary, Plot No. 32, Jangpura Road, Bhogal, Delhi.

IN THE COURT OF SHRI R. N. SINGAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,  
FARIDABAD

Reference No. 294 of 1984

between

SHRI RAJU, WORKMAN AND THE RESPONDENT-MANAGEMENT OF M/S. (i) ASIA  
FOUNDRY, PLOT NO. 258, SECTOR 24, FARIDABAD, (ii) M/S. MANAGING  
DIRECTOR, M/S. ASIA FOUNDRY, C/O M/S. RAJENDRA FOUNDRY,  
PLOT NO. 32, JANGPURA ROAD, BHOGAL, DELHI.

Present:—

None for the workman.

Shri Deepak Shandalia, Accountant for the respondent-management.

#### AWARD

This reference has been referred to this court by the Hon'ble Governor of Haryana,—vide his order No. ID/FD/14/84/30073—79, dated 13th August, 1984, under section 10(i)(c) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, for adjudication of the industrial dispute, existing between Shri Raju, workman and the respondent-management of M/s Asia Foundary, Plot No. 258, Sector 24, Faridabad, (ii) M/s. Managing Director, M/s. Asia Foundary, c/o M/s. Rajindra Foundary, Plot No. 32, Jangpura Road, Bhogal, Delhi. The term of the reference was:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Raju, was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

None is present for the workman inspite of repeated calls. It is 1.00 p.m. Hence the workman is proceed *ex parte*. In *ex parte* evidence, the respondent-management has appeared as MW-1 and stated that the workman has settled his dispute. He has no right of reinstatement/ re-employment. The settlement is Ex.M-1.

In view of the statement of the representative of the management, there is no dispute between the parties.

Dated, the 14th November, 1984.

R. N. SINGAL,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Faridabad.

Endorsement No. 2775, dated the 27th November, 1984.

Forwarded (Four copies) to the Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act.

R. N. SINGAL,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Faridabad.